

ID:

168

Is anonymous?

No

Is organisation?

No

Is form complete:

Yes

First name:

Adewale

Last name:

Bakare

Global catastrophic risks mitigated

Risk multipliers managed

Implementation timeframe

Climate change

Conflict or political violence

Short

Eco-system collapse

Institutions that lack inclusivity or accountability

Pandemics and anti-microbial resistance

Poverty and inequality

Weapons of Mass Destruction

The threat from new and emerging technology

Unknown risks

Implementing a UN 2.0 System and Multilateralism 2.0 for Remodelling Global Cooperation

The proposed UN 2.0 System, Multilateralism 2.0 and Intercontinental Relations are unmasked from 21st century global governance approaches, axioms, frameworks, models and theories by interpreting a formerly impossible web 2.0 metaphor from Information- NOTE: exceeded word count

DETAILS

The UN 2.0 System is proposed to solve the prevalent problems of International System that aroused from the transformation failure of functional approach and the formation of the UN System that is characterized with the universalization of western social systems, and the great inequality between developed and less developed nations. As a result, it has given rise to nationalism, ethnic cleansing, and Islamic fundamentalism, cyberspace insecurity and regulation challenges, and many more. Theoretically, functional approach is an incremental method that requires time to benefit material welfare and security needs. However, seventy-three years have elapsed since the creation of UN that is enough to falsify, the supposed peaceable consequences of functional approach, specifically with respect to UN experience at conflict management, global economic crisis and climate change. As an alternative global order, the Unified world approach is linked to the functional approach via the open world framework, which comprises of two functional variables of civilizations namely; identities and values. On the one hand, world identities are formed into Union groups for recognition and representation in the production of global society. On the other hand, their common value variants are for the production and distribution of common global wealth, social development, welfare and security needs at all levels of governance. Based on the conceptual world view of networking civilizations; the Unified world approach upgrades the UN System, structurally. Subsequently, the theoretical debate entails definition, description, explanation and comparison of both approaches in order to identify, their areas of convergence and divergence. In the final outcome, the Unified world approach is a theoretical method, or complex web 2.0 vision of transforming Multilateralism, UN System, Global Governance and International Relations for effectively and efficiently managing global geopolitical events, and common existential risks in information age. The UN 2.0 System is proposed to solve the prevalent problems of International System that aroused from the transformation failure of functional approach and the formation of the UN System that is characterized with the universalization of western social systems, and the great inequality between developed and less developed nations. As a result, it has given rise to nationalism, ethnic cleansing, and Islamic fundamentalism, cyberspace insecurity and regulation challenges, and many more. Theoretically, functional approach is an incremental method that requires time to benefit material welfare and security needs. However, seventy-three years have elapsed since the creation of UN that is enough to falsify, the supposed peaceable consequences of functional approach, specifically with respect to UN experience at conflict management, global economic crisis and climate change. As an alternative global order,

the Unified world approach is linked to the functional approach via the open world framework, which comprises of two functional variables of civilizations namely; identities and values. On the one hand, world identities are formed into Union groups for recognition and representation in the production of global society. On the other hand, their common value variants are for the production and distribution of common global wealth, social development, welfare and security needs at all levels of governance. Based on the conceptual world view of networking civilizations; the Unified world approach upgrades the UN System, structurally. Subsequently, the theoretical debate entails definition, description, explanation and comparison of both approaches in order to identify, their areas of convergence and divergence. In the final outcome, the Unified world approach is a theoretical method, or complex web 2.0 vision of transforming Multilateralism, UN System, Global Governance and International Relations for effectively and efficiently managing global geopolitical events, and common existential risks in information age. Below are other documents hyperlinks for further reading.

<https://cordis.europa.eu/news/rcn/131679/en>

www.academia.edu/38044422/A_UN_2.0_SYSTEM_FOR_REMODELLING_GLOBAL_COOPERATION

www.academia.edu/38045974/Modelling_a_Built_Intercontinental_System_for_Global_Governance_2.0

<https://acuns.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/Adewale-Bakare-Next-core-of-Global-Governance-Model-Unveiled-AM17-Paper.pdf>

<https://acuns.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/Full-Paper-on-A-Call-for-Multilateralism-2.0.pdf>

<http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/91248717/open-world-system-political-economy-bakarean-world>

<http://connection.ebscohost.com/c/articles/101851065/unified-world-order-exposition-open-world-peace-paradigms>

<https://acuns.org/adewale-muteeu-bakare/>

<https://adewalebakare.academia.edu>

Describe your global governance proposal

The proposed UN 2.0 System, Multilateralism 2.0 and Intercontinental Relations are unmasked from 21st century global governance approaches, axioms, frameworks, models and theories by interpreting a formerly impossible web 2.0 metaphor from Information and Communications Technology parlance during the EU funded Seventh Framework Programme: the European Union as a Global Regional Actor for Security and Peace (the EU-GRASP) for changing multilateralism at the United Nations University Institute on Comparative Regional Integration Studies Bruges, Belgium (2009-2012). The purpose is aimed at advancing the state-of-the-art of theory on multilateralism. It was at this EU-GRASP project that Web 2.0 metaphor was coined for the realization of multilateralism 2.0 vision for conceptualizing new multilateralism, as multilateralism 2.0 while at the end, the Web 2.0 metaphor could not be used to conceptualize multilateralism 2.0.

As a groundbreaking global governance proposal, our present UN System is transformed by using the Web 2.0 Metaphor as a new data for validating the built Unified World Approach while falsifying the Functional Approach by David Mitrany, and the formation of UN System. Consequently, the Unified World Approach has transformed, unified and updated our global decision-making structures in tackling climate change, pandemics, extreme poverty, global conflicts and global economic crises. For example; the Economic and Social Council is

upgraded to the Economic, Social and Environment Council. Details of these structural changes can be found on CORDIS News Portal. However, network thinking and practice is introduced for Remodelling Global Cooperation. Finally, the essence is to network civilizations as Global-Regional Actors for implementing all inclusive and people-centric Multilateralism 2.0 in order to link International Relations to Intercontinental Relations and the UN System to UN 2.0 System, or Global governance 2.0.

WIDER IMPLICATIONS

Alleviating poverty and inequality

Nothing included in this section.

Enhancing inclusivity and accountability in national and global governance

Nothing included in this section.

Reducing conflict and political violence

Nothing included in this section.

THEORY OF CHANGE

Implementation strategy

The implementation strategy involves identifying the Project goals, Project Tasks/ Objectives, Project Actions Plans and Project Timeline and Budget, Roll Out as follows

To Implement the UN 2.0 System as a core of Global Governance 2.0 for Remodelling Global Cooperation.

To spur the study of Intercontinental Relations for implementing all inclusive, and people-centered Multilateralism.

To implement the roles of Civilizations in unifying humankind, and effectively managing our Global Commons

Task One: Implement a UN 2.0 System for Tackling Extreme Poverty, Pandemic, Inequality, Climate Change, Global Conflicts and Global Economic Crises.

Task Two: Implement a 21st Century Multilateralism for the study of Intercontinental Relations for Analysing, Predicting

and Managing Global Geopolitical Events, World Issues and Global Catastrophic Risks

Project Action Plans

Setup a Working Group for Implementing UN 2.0 System:

Amend article

IV and Chapter VIII of the UN Charter for integrating Civilizations/ Regional Organizations Review and Upgrade the Westphalia State System for the Open State System, and Open Federalism

Propose a Global Decision-Making Structures of UN 2.0 System as the Core of Global Governance 2.0

Setup a Working Group for Implementing Multilateralism 2.0 Educational Tools:

Propose the Arts of Open Diplomacy, Open Globalization, the Built Intercontinental System and a Unified World Approach; as 21st Century Educational Tools

Project Timeline and Budget

A workable timeline for Roll Out is 5 years, which comprises of project duration and deadline for each Action Plan. They shall be Co-drafted by a Local Organizing Committee (LOC), and is subject to review by all stakeholders. The Financial Plan is to be fully Determined by the Constituted LOC.

Political will exists to realise this proposal

Nothing included in this section

What if political will does not exist yet

In case political will does not exist: as a semi-finalist in the Global Challenges Prize 2017: A New Shape, and subsequently in the Educators' Challenge Prize 2018, I would collaborate with Global Governance NGOs, CSOs, Institutions and Government in order to create awareness. I would also leverage on my achievements thus far to raise fund for its campaign among member states, multilateral and private organizations and subsequently, its implementation at the UN. The estimated time frame to achieve this task is 24 months

MITIGATING RISKS

Mitigating climate change

Nothing included in this section.

Mitigating pandemics and anti-microbial resistance

Nothing included in this section.

Mitigating weapons of mass destruction

Nothing included in this section.

Mitigating eco-system collapse

Nothing included in this section.

Mitigating the threat from new and emerging technology

Nothing included in this section.

Mitigating unknown risks

By Implementing the Upgraded Global Decision-making Structures.

A more citizen-centric and open General Assembly shall include member States, Non-State Actors (NSAs), Regional Organizations (ROs) and other variants with equal voting rights and sovereignty.

A citizen-centric and unified Security Council shall include ROs and other variants as Defenders of Civilizations, and NSAs par Regions for Peace and Security.

As part of our global common, climate change, proliferation of nuclear weapon, pandemic, humanitarian crisis, cyberspace and outer-space risks shall be prioritized by the Security Council for efficiency and effectiveness of Multilateralism 2.0

The Economic, Social and Environment Council is proposed as the sustainability of Environment is interdependently linked to the performance of a regulated economic space for the production of social space against greed.

The application of Intercontinental Law is proposed at local, national, transnational, regional and global levels

The pursuit of inter-civilizations shall be the norms, the Secretariat shall be headed by an elected Secretary-General and regionalized.