# TOGETHER FIRST A global system that works for all

ID: Is anonymous? Is organisation?

164 No Yes

Is form complete: Organisation name:

Yes Artem NexGen

First name: Last name:

Alexander Taylor

Global catastrophic risks

mitigated

Risk multipliers managed

Implementation timeframe

Climate change

Conflict or political violence

Medium

Eco-system collapse

Institutions that lack inclusivity or

accountability

The threat from new and

emerging technology

**Poverty and inequality** 

**Unknown risks** 

## Eleutherocracy

Eleutherocracy, stemming from the Ancient Greek word eleutheros, meaning liberated, is a transformative multilateral, intergovernmental model that focuses on preventive long-term measures to address UN Sustainable Goals and global challenges through synthesizing the finalists of the Global Challenges Foundation: New Shape Prize Competition. The URL for accessing the full proposal is linked below: tinyurl.com/eleutherocratic

#### **DETAILS**

My name is Alexander Taylor. I am the appointed Youth Ambassador to the Global Challenges Forum in Geneva, Switzerland. I was the youngest submitter to the Global Challenges Foundation New Shape Prize at 18 years old.

I am grateful for this redesigned proposal to have been directly reviewed by a Global Challenges Foundation New Shape Prize Finalist and the former senior project manager and communications specialists at the Global Challenges Foundation. I have also referenced my proposal during the 1M2030 launch, hosted at the United Nations European Headquarters in Geneva, this past September.

I would like to ask those proposing to the Together First Organization to consider the work that this has to offer. The Eleutherocratic proposal resolves conflicts such as differentiating policies to the International Courts of Justice by Global Challenge Finalists. It resolves differentiating views regarding the incorporation of non-state representatives the GA (multi-stakeholder representation) versus an Al-survey incorporated participatory voting method (direct representation) advocated by other finalists. It reviews details of proposals advocating for the reform of the Security Council into the Executive Council, in addition to proposals arguing that such a controversial prospect might compromise the propositions entailed. Its displays how each of these top proposals, advocating for higher-level concepts, can operate with synchronization, viewing each revisionist's proposal cohesively, rather than as separate articles. Unlike every former New Shape Prize proposal so far, this proposal elucidates that the ideas of each of its fellow finalists have not only validity, but the capability to be even more powerful when operating cohesively. It operates of an idea that a global solution only happens Together First.

The URL for accessing the full proposal is: http://tinyurl.com/eleutherocratic

#### **WIDER IMPLICATIONS**

## Alleviating poverty and inequality

The Eleutherocratic Model incorporates the Planterary Condominium proposal, by Paulo Magalhães, Alessandro Galli, Leena Iyengar, Kate Meyer, Alexandra Aragão, and Will Steffen proposed. This proposal entails an international legal framework for managing the geo-territorial resources of the earth. The Eleutherocratic proposal synthesizes this with the Ocean Health Index, by Ben S. Halpern, Erich J. Pacheco, Melanie Frazier, Julia Stewart Lowndes, and Erin Reilly thereby creating a legal framework that addresses both international regulations on emissions of developing nations, as well as the cycle of plastic that leads to ocean pollution. While it is true that higher-income nations produce more plastic waste per person, this waste is collected and managed more effectively. The main source of ocean pollution stem for the coastal regions of lower-income nations, as the lack of efficient waste management leads to a greater amount of pollution.

Could aspects of this proposal have the converse effect of increasing poverty and inequality?

The Eleutherocratic Model incorporates the Planterary Condominium proposal, by Paulo Magalhães, Alessandro Galli, Leena Iyengar, Kate Meyer, Alexandra Aragão, and Will Steffen proposed. This proposal entails an international legal framework for managing the geo-territorial resources of the earth. The Eleutherocratic proposal synthesizes this with the Ocean Health Index, by Ben S. Halpern, Erich J. Pacheco, Melanie Frazier, Julia Stewart Lowndes, and Erin O'Reilly thereby creating a legal framework that addresses both international regulations on emissions of developing nations, as well as the cycle of plastic that leads to ocean pollution. While it is true that higher-income nations produce more plastic waste per person, this waste is collected and managed more effectively. The main source of ocean pollution stem for the coastal regions of lower-income nations, as the lack of efficient waste management leads to a greater amount of pollution.

## Enhancing inclusivity and accountability in national and global governance

New-Shape-Prize Finalist Natalie Samarasinghe proposal solution to this is based off the incorporation of non-state representatives (NSRs), a term used in her proposal to attribute contributors to private sector (for- and non-profit) organizations. These NSRs are to be more involved in the specialized agencies that are mostly run on a state-by-stated sponsored and elected basis. Mrs. Samarasinghe advocates for a "four-way governance structure where the number of members is doubled, with states making up half, and representatives of business, civil society, and youth organizations making up the other half (or close to 50%)." The Eleutherocratic proposal has incorporated aspects of this ideology throughout its four main legislative organs: the Corporate Representative Branch (business), Social Representive Branch (youth groups/NGO's), Electoral Branch (civil society), and the World Parlimentary Assembly, or the reformed General Assembly (states) allowing for a greater amount of civic participation.

There are checks and balances in the Eleutherocratic system that sustain inclusivity and accountability. The revised International Courts structure would be empowered to have limited judicial review, as well as for these decisions to be binding and enforceable by an International Security Force, in referential incorporation of the proposal accredited

to New Shape Prize Finalists Augusto Lopez-Claros, Arthur Dahl, and Maja Groff. This results in nations in becoming more accountable to the verdicts made.

In order to regulate measures combating for internal corruption, the abilities of the Office of Internal Oversight Services (OIOS) will expand to enable the organization to file charges to the International Court of Justice on UN officials or international corporations in cases of bribery, corruption, breach of contract, or illicit governmental interference. The Ethical Supervisor, or reformed Under-Secretary-General of OIOS, is only able to serve one term of six years. Each Ethical Supervisor shall not have primary residence in the same country as his/her predecessor.

#### Reducing conflict and political violence

I can only promise that this proposal creates mechanisms that can help prevent conflicts and violence through increasing participation. It is, however, the civic responsibility of global stakeholders, leaders, and stewards to utilize these mechanisms to bring about change for peace desired.

Could aspects of this proposal have the converse effect of increasing conflict and political violence?

The appeal of this synthesized proposal to multistakeholderism, while developing methods of enforcement of the verdicts of the Internationally Courts will result in a more developmentally oriented structure promoting peace and unity.

#### THEORY OF CHANGE

#### Implementation strategy

There comes about a time where the world refuses to deny its inalienable rights to freedom, peace, and prosperity. This reformation proposal is a declaration of these rights incorporated as legislation to better prepare the world towards facing the global challenges of tomorrow.

The Eleutherocratic proposal envisions a transformational international structure, one that incorporates more stakeholders while resolving the Global Challenges of tomorrow. This proposal stands on the shoulders of giants in incorporating the subject-matter of the finalists of the New Shape Prize selected by the Global Challenges

Foundation. It recognizes that there will be a transitional period before the full incorporation of the work of the finalists can be reformed into the UN Charter. Similarly to the selective incorporation practices enacted by the Supreme Court of the United States, whereby the federal protections of Bill of Rights were integrated into state legislative protections on a case-by-case basis (through the 14th Amendment), this proposal will undergo the arduous task of assimilating policies proposed by the New Shape Prize finalists and contributors into a synthesized one. Ergo, the Eleutherocratic proposal is not a solution, rather a collection of such solutions, synthesized into my previous model to form a cohesive resolution. This proposal, in accordance to its practical approach, will allow restructuring the legislative and executive bodies while still incorporating many of the current UN subsidiary bodies, specialized agencies, and functional committees. At the same time, it will still maintain its philosophy when referentially incorporating the

subject-matter concerns of fellow system theorists. The Eleutherocratic proposal resolves conflicts such as differentiating policies of Global Challenge Finalists. Its displays how each of these top proposals, advocating for higher-level concepts, can operate with synchronization, viewing each revisionist's proposal cohesively, rather than as separate articles.

#### Realisation by implementing or making adjustments to current roadmaps

Nothing included in this section.

## Decision makers and implementers

Nothing included in this section.

#### **MITIGATING RISKS**

#### Mitigating climate change

Nothing included in this section.

#### Mitigating eco-system collapse

Nothing included in this section.

#### Mitigating the threat from new and emerging technology

Nothing included in this section.

#### Mitigating unknown risks

Nothing included in this section.